Dear Raveena and Fiona,
I want to be clear: the facts and the law in my case strongly favour our position. With proper presentation, I believe we have every reason to expect success in court.

1. Appraisal Manipulation
I initiated a joint appraisal. Heather excluded me from the process and instructed me I was not allowed on the property when her chosen appraiser came.

The first appraisal showed the home valued at **$950,000**. Heather later relied on an appraisal of **$805,000** — a swing of $145,000.

Courts in BC place weight on fair, joint valuation. Unilateral action of this kind undermines credibility and can justify the court rejecting her figure.

2. Breach of Duty of Disclosure
Under **s. 5 and s. 59 of the Family Law Act**, both parties must make full and honest disclosure. Heather's concealment and exclusion breach this duty.

Courts treat nondisclosure and misrepresentation as serious misconduct.

3. Financial Misconduct
At separation, Heather retained **$12,000 in her account** while I was left at **–$1,450**. She asserted, incorrectly, that debts were "all mine."

The **presumption of equal division** under **s. 81 FLA** contradicts her position.

4. Pensions and Equal Division
I am entitled to half of Heather's pension and equal share of marital assets. Heather has attempted to distort valuations when it benefits her and conceal obligations when it does not.

5. Legal Remedy
Under **s. 95 FLA**, the court may order unequal division if equal division would be "significantly unfair." Heather's manipulation of appraisals, financial exclusion, and breaches of disclosure give strong grounds for unequal division in my favour.

The doctrine of **spoliation** also applies if Heather withholds or deletes evidence. Courts can infer such evidence would have been damaging to her.

Conclusion
This case is not about minor disagreements — it is about Heather's consistent pattern of manipulation and bad faith. BC law is clear: disclosure and fairness are mandatory. Heather's conduct strengthens our position. I believe we have an excellent chance of success, and I want to ensure we pursue this case with the confidence it deserves.
Sincerely,
James Matthew Whalen